UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,027	12/05/2005	Klaus -Karl Wasmuht	30051/41499	6688
	7590 08/11/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
233 SOUTH W	ACKER DRIVE	ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
6300 SEARS TO CHICAGO, IL	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,027	WASMUHT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Reginald L. Alexander	3742	

Regir	nald L. Alexander	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sa application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	me day as filing a Notice of A : (1) an amendment, affidavit h appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
periods:	in al majoration						
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Action, or (2) the date set forth in SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	TOTILOR BOX (b) WHEN THE	TINOT NEI ET WAOTI	LD WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount or ed statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	nereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prio (a) They raise new issues that would require further considera (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);			cause				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresp	onding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	e attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment ( <b>I</b>	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3,5 and 6</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 4 and 7-11</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we have a contracted to the contracted of the contracted	ne <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	e status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does	NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S 13. Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Reginald L. Alexander/ Primary Examiner						

Art Unit: 3742

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly submitted subject matter has not been previously considered. The rejection of claim 11 stands.